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1. Introduction

Given a positive integer \( U \), we can always write each one of the numbers \( U^2 + 1 \) or \( U^2 - 1 \) as \( dV^2 \), where \( d \) and \( V \) are integers and \( d \) is square-free. Conversely, given any square-free number \( d > 1 \), the equation

\[ U^2 - dV^2 = \pm 1, \]  

(1)
usually referred to as the Pell equation has infinitely many positive integer solutions \((U, V)\). Let \((U_1, V_1)\) be the minimal positive integer solution of the above equation (1). Put
\[
\alpha = U_1 + \sqrt{d}V_1, \tag{2}
\]
and for each integer \(t \geq 1\) write
\[
\alpha^t = U_t + \sqrt{d}V_t, \tag{3}
\]
with positive integers \(U_t\) and \(V_t\). Then all positive integer solutions \((U, V)\) of equation (1) are of the form \((U, V) = (U_t, V_t)\) for some \(t \geq 1\) (see, for example, Theorem 8.2.9 on page 110 in [7]). Equation (1) has a solution with the sign \(-1\) in the right hand side if and only if \(U_1^2 - dV_1^2 = -1\), and in this case \(U_t^2 - dV_t^2 = (-1)^t\). Otherwise, all positive integer solutions of equation (1) have the sign \(+1\) in the right hand side.

Given \(d\), the problem of determining all the perfect powers in either the sequence \((U_t)_{t \geq 1}\) or \((V_t)_{t \geq 1}\) has received a lot of interest. For example, when \(U_1^2 - dV_1^2 = 1\), then from the combined work of Ljunggren [9] and Cohn [6] it follows that if \(U_t\) is a square, then either \(t = 1\) or \(t = 2\), and \(U_t\) is a square for both \(t = 1\) and \(2\) only when \(d = 1785\). More general results on polynomial values in linear recurrence sequences have been proved by Nemes and Pethő [11], and also by Shorey and Stewart [13]. It follows from the above mentioned results that there are only finitely many perfect powers in each of the two sequences \((U_t)_{t \geq 1}\) and \((V_t)_{t \geq 1}\).

Here, we assume that \(U_1^2 - dV_1^2 = -1\) and we take a different point of view concerning the equation \(U_t = n^g\) for some positive integers \(n\) and \(g\) with \(g > 1\). We fix neither \(d\) nor \(g\), but rather take a positive integer \(n\) and ask whether or not \(n^g = U_t\) holds for some positive integers \(g > 1\) and \(t > 1\). In other words, we ask whether there exists a positive integer \(g > 1\) such that when writing
\[
n^{2g} + 1 = dv^2,
\]
with integers \(d\) and \(v\) such that \(d\) is square-free; the pair \((n^g, v)\) is not the minimal solution of the Pell equation \(U^2 - dV^2 = -1\). In what follows, we write \(\mathcal{A}\) for the set of such positive integers \(n\). For a positive real number \(x\) we put \(\mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{A} \cap [1, x]\). In this note, we give an upper bound for \(\# \mathcal{A}(x)\) as \(x \to \infty\).

Before mentioning our main result we point out that the set \(\mathcal{A}(x)\) has already been investigated in our previous paper [5]. In that paper, we showed that the estimate
\[
\# \mathcal{A}(x) \leq x^{(c_0 + o(1))(\log \log \log \log x / \log \log \log \log x)^{1/3}}, \tag{4}
\]
holds as \(x \to \infty\), where \(c_0 = 2(10/3)^{1/3}\). Here and in what follows, we use \(\log x\) for the natural logarithm of \(x\). Under the \(ABC\)-conjecture, it was also shown that \(\mathcal{A}\) is finite. The above results are Lemma 3 in [5].
In this paper, we improve upon the upper bound (4) on the cardinality of $A(x)$. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** The estimate

$$\#A(x) \leq \exp\left((c_1 + o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right),$$

holds as $x \to \infty$, where $c_1 = \sqrt{13/2}$.

As applications, in [5] the positive integers $n$ not in $A$ were used to construct quadratic fields having class number divisible by any given positive integer $g$. Namely, it was shown that for $x > x_0$, there are at least $x^1/g/5$ real quadratic fields $K$ of discriminant $\Delta_K < x$ whose class group has an element of order $g$ (even), and this holds uniformly for even positive integers $g \leq (\log \log x)/(8 \log \log \log x)$.

Furthermore, consider the equation

$$(x^m + 1)(y^n + 1) = z^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

in positive integer unknowns $(x, y, m, n, z)$ with $x^m > y^n$. In [10], it was shown that the ABC-conjecture implies that equation (5) has only finitely many solutions with $\min\{m, n\} \geq 4$. Note that for each solution of equation (5) there exists a square-free integer $d$ and integers $v$ and $w$ such that $x^m + 1 = dv^2$, $x^n + 1 = dw^2$. When $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ are both even, it follows that both $(U, V) = (x^{m/2}, v)$, $(y^{n/2}, w)$ are solutions to the Pell equation $U^2 - dV^2 = -1$.

Since $x^{m/2} > y^{n/2}$, we get that $x^{m/2} = U_t$ for some $t > 1$. In particular, $x \in A$ for $m > 2$, therefore our result can be used to yield an unconditional upper bound on the number of solutions $(x, y, m, n, z)$ to equation (5) with $\max\{x, y\} \leq X$ below some fixed upper bound $X$. We record this as

**Corollary 1.** Let $B(X)$ be the set of quintuples $(x, y, m, n, z)$ of positive integers satisfying equation (5) with $x^m > y^n$, $m$, $n$ even, $\min\{m, n\} \geq 4$ and $\max\{x, y\} \leq X$. Then

$$\#B(X) \leq \exp\left((c_1 + o(1))\sqrt{\log X \log \log X}\right)$$

as $X \to \infty$.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1**

For any odd positive integer $m$, let

$$P_m(X) = \frac{(X + \sqrt{X^2 + 1})^m + (X - \sqrt{X^2 + 1})^m}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

For example, $P_1(X) = X$ and $P_3(X) = 4X^3 + 3X$, etc. It is known and easy to check that $P_{mn}(X) = P_m(P_n(X))$ holds for all odd positive integers $m$ and
n. It is also well-known, and it can be immediately deduced from formulas (2) and (3) that if $U_t^2 - dV_t^2 = -1$, then $U_t = P_t(U_1)$.

Hence, if $n \in A(x)$, then $n^{2g} + 1 = dv^2$, where $d$ is square-free, and so $n^g = U_t = P_t(U_1)$ holds with some integer $t \geq 2$. Furthermore, since $U_t^2 - dV_t^2 = (-1)^t$, it follows that $t$ is odd. Using the fact that $P_{mn}(X) = P_m(P_n(X))$, it follows that we may replace $t$ by any prime factor $p$ of it (necessarily odd) and $U_t$ by $u = U_{t/p} = P_{t/p}(U_1)$, and thus assume that

$$n^g = P_p(u).$$

Thus, it remains to count the number of positive integers $n \leq x$ such that relation (7) is satisfied for some integers $g > 1$, $u \geq 1$ and prime $p \geq 3$.

Some of the following arguments have already appeared in [5]. We review them here in order to make this paper self contained.

The structure of $n$.

If $u = 1$, we then get that

$$n^g = P_p(1) = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^p + (1 - \sqrt{2})^p}{2}.$$

Since $g > 1$, we get that $P_p(1)$ is a perfect power. Since non-degenerate binary recurrent sequences contain only finitely many perfect powers (see [11], or Theorem 9.6 on page 152 in [14], for example), we get that the number of such exponents $p$ is $O(1)$. From now on, we assume that $u > 1$. In this case,

$$\frac{(2u)^p - 1}{2} < \frac{(u + \sqrt{u^2 + 1})^p + (u - \sqrt{u^2 + 1})^p}{2} < \frac{(2u + 1)^p}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Let us take a closer look at the polynomial $P_p(X)$. Its roots are $z_j = i \sin (2j + 1)\pi/p)$, $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. In particular, $P_p(X)$ has no double roots. Hence, from known results about perfect power values of polynomials (see Theorems 10.1 on page 169 and 8.1 on page 141 in [14]), we deduce that for any fixed $p \geq 3$, the equation

$$P_p(u) = n^g,$$

has only finitely many positive integer solutions $(u, n, g)$. From now on, we assume that $p > 100$.

Now note that $u \mid P_p(u)$. Further, it is known that $gcd(u, P_p(u)/u) \mid p$, and that if this greatest common divisor is $p$, then $p \parallel P_p(u)/u$ (see [5]). Hence, from the equation

$$n^g = P_p(u),$$
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we deduce that either
\[ u = n_1^g, \quad P_p(u)/u = n_2^g, \quad \text{and} \quad n_1 n_2 = n, \]
or
\[ u = p^{g-1} n_1^g, \quad P_p(u)/u = p n_2^g, \quad \text{and} \quad p n_1 n_2 = n. \]

Bounding \( n_1 \) and \( p \).

Assume first that \( n_1 = 1 \). Then, since \( u > 1 \), we have that \( u = p^{g-1} \), and \( p n_2^g = P_p(u)/u \). Hence,
\[ x^g \geq n^g = P_p(u) \geq u^g/2 = p^{(g-1)/2} \geq p^{g/2}, \]
therefore
\[ g \log x \gg p g \log p, \]
giving \( p \ll \log x / \log \log x \).

Next, assume that \( n_1 > 1 \). Then \( \log u \geq g \log n_1 \), while
\[ p \log u - \log 2 = \log (u^g/2) < \log (P_p(u)/u) \leq \log (n_2^g p) \leq g \log n_2 + \log p, \]
therefore
\[ p - 1 \leq \frac{p \log u - \log 2}{\log u} \leq \frac{\log n_2 + (\log p)/g}{\log n_1}. \tag{9} \]

Since \( g \geq 2 \) and \( n_2 \leq x/n_1 \), it follows, from (9), that
\[ (p - 1) \log n_1 \leq \log x - \log n_1 + (\log p)/2, \]
giving \( n_1^p \leq p^{1/2} x \), which implies
\[ n_1 \ll x^{1/p}. \tag{10} \]

Further, since \( n_1 \geq 2, g \geq 2 \) and \( n_2 \leq x \), we have
\[ p - 1 \leq \frac{\log n_2 + (\log p)/2}{\log 2} \leq 2 \log x + \log p. \]

Since \( \log p < p/2 - 1 \) when \( p > 100 \), we get that \( p \leq 4 \log x \). Thus, in both cases when \( n_1 = 1 \) or \( n_1 > 1 \), we have that
\[ p \leq 4 \log x, \tag{11} \]
provided that \( x > x_0 \) is sufficiently large.
Bounding $g$.

We now deal with the more difficult task of bounding $g$. It is known that

$$2P_p(X) = Q_p(2X),$$

where $Q_p(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a monic polynomial. A quick way to prove this fact is to first notice, by comparing leading terms, that $Q_p(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is monic, and next to notice that the roots of $Q_p(X)$:

$$2z_j = 2i\sin((2j + 1)\pi/p) = e^{(2j+1)i\pi/p} - e^{-(2j+1)i\pi/p}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, p - 1,$$

are all algebraic integers and Galois conjugates; thus, $Q_p(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is, in fact, a polynomial with integer coefficients. Hence, the equation $P_p(u) = n^q$ is equivalent to $Q_p(2u) = 2n^q$.

At this stage, we record a result of Bugeaud from [2].

**Lemma 1.** Let $f(X) = X^d + a_1X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ with integer coefficients without multiple roots. Assume that $a \neq 0$ and $u$ are integers such that $f(u) = av^m$. Then, either $m \leq 2d\log(2H + 3)$ or

$$m \leq 2^{15(d+6)}d^d|D|^{3/2} (\log |D|)^3 (\log(3|a|))^2 \log \log (27|a|),$$

where $D$ is the discriminant of $f$ and $H = \max\{|a_1|, \ldots, |a_d|\}$ is the naive height of $f$.

We apply Lemma 1 to bound the number $g$ in terms of $x$. For this, we need upper bounds for the parameters $H$ and $|D|$ associated to the polynomial $Q_p(X)$. Since $Q_p(X)$ has only nonnegative coefficients, it follows that

$$H = H(Q_p) \leq H(P_p) \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i = P_p(1) < \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^p}{2}.$$

Here, $P_p(X) = 2^{p-1}X^p + a_1X^{p-1} + \cdots + a_p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$.

As for the discriminant $D$ of $Q_p(X)$, note that

$$|D| = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} |Q'_p(2z_j)| = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} |P'_p(z_j)|,$$

where again $z_j = i\sin((2j + 1)\pi/p)$, $j = 0, \ldots, p - 1$ are the roots of $P_p(X)$. Here, we used the fact that $Q'_p(2X) = P'_p(X)$, which follows with the chain rule from the fact that $Q_p(2X) = 2P_p(X)$. Since

$$P'_p(X) = \frac{p}{2\sqrt{X^2+1}} \left[ (X + \sqrt{X^2+1})^p - (X - \sqrt{X^2+1})^p \right], \quad (12)$$

one checks easily that

$$P'_p(z_j) = \frac{\pm p}{\cos((2j+1)\pi/p)}, \quad \text{for } j = 0, \ldots, p - 1.$$
Since
\[ |\cos((2j + 1)\pi/p)| = |\sin((p - 2(2j + 1))\pi/(2p))| \geq \sin(\pi/(2p)) \geq 1/p , \]
for all \( j = 0, \ldots, p - 1 \), and \( p \geq 3 \), we get that
\[ |D| \leq p^{2p} . \]
Thus, from Lemma 1 with \( a = 2 \) and \( f(X) = Q_p(X) \), we conclude that either
\[ g \leq 2p \log \left( \left( 1 + \sqrt{2} \right)^p + 3 \right) \ll p^2 , \]
or
\[ g \leq 2^{15(p+6)}p^7p^{3p}(2p \log p)^{3p}(log 6)^2 \log \log 54 . \]
In both cases,
\[ g \leq \exp(13p(\log p + O(\log \log p))) . \] (13)
We define \( y = c_2 \sqrt{\log x/\log \log x} \), where \( c_2 = \sqrt{2}/13 \). If \( p \leq y \), then \( \log p < (1/2 + o(1)) \log \log x \) as \( x \to \infty \), and the above inequality (13) immediately implies that the inequality
\[ g < \exp((c_3 + o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}) \] (14)
holds as \( x \to \infty \), where \( c_3 = \sqrt{13}/2 \).

We now look at the case when \( p > y \). Estimate (10) implies that
\[ n_1 \ll x^{1/y} = \exp \left( (c_3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x} \right) . \] (15)
Further, the constant term \( a_{p-1} \) of \( P_p(u)/u = Q_p(2u)/(2u) \) is \( p \). This can be noticed by observing that this constant term is
\[ a_{p-1} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{P_p(t)}{t} = P'_p(s) \big|_{s=0} = p \quad (\text{cf. formula (12)}) . \]
Since \( u \big| P_p(u)/u - a_{p-1} \), we get that \( n_2^q \big| n_2^q - p \), or \( p^{q-1}n_1^q \big| pn_2^q - p \), according to whether \( u = n_1^q \) or \( p^{q-1}n_1^q \).

Assume first that \( n_1 = 1 \). Then \( p^{q-2} \big| n_2^q - 1 \). It then follows easily that
\[ g - 2 \leq \ord_p(n_2^q - 1) \leq (p - 1) \frac{\log n_2}{\log p} + \frac{\log g}{\log p} < p \log x + \log g < 4(\log x)^2 + \log g . \]
This shows that \( g \ll (\log x)^2 \) in this case. Hence, inequality (14) holds in this case as well if \( x \) is large.
Assume now that \( n_1 > 1 \). Then \( n_1^q \mid n_2^g - \delta \), where \( \delta \in \{1, p\} \). Let \( q \) be the smallest prime factor of \( n_1 \). Applying a linear form in \( q \)-adic logarithms (see, for example, [3]), we get that

\[
g \leq \text{ord}_q (n_1^q - \delta) \ll \frac{q}{\log q} \log n_2 \log p \log g \ll n_1 \log x \log \log x \log g,
\]

which together with inequality (15) implies easily that inequality (14) holds in this instance also.

Comparing inequalities (14) and (16), we conclude that estimate

\[
g < \exp \left( (c_3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x} \right)
\]

holds as \( x \to \infty \). (16)

Let \( A_p(x) \) be the number of \( n \leq x \) corresponding to the same value for \( p \). Since \( n_1 \) and \( g \) are bounded as in (10), and (16), and since \( n_2 \) is determined in at most two ways once \( n_1, p \) and \( g \) are fixed, we deduce that if \( p \) is fixed then

\[
\#A_p(x) \ll \#\{\text{choices for } n_1\} \times \#\{\text{choices for } g\} \\
\ll x^{1/p} \exp((c_3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x})
\]

(17)

as \( x \to \infty \). Furthermore, if \( n_1 \leq p \), then the number of choices for the pair \((n_1, p)\) is \( O \left( \log x \right)^2 \). Writing \( M(x) \) for the set of \( n \leq x \) for which \( n_1 \leq p \), we get that

\[
\#M(x) \ll \#\{\text{choices for } g\} \times (\log x)^2 \\
\leq \exp((c_3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x})
\]

(18)

Thus, from now on we assume that \( n_1 > p \).

We now distinguish two cases according to whether \( g \) is much larger than \( p \) or not.

**The case when \( g > 5p \).**

We write \( N(x) \) for the set of such \( n \leq x \). We treat in detail the case when \( n = n_1 n_2 \), and later on we shall indicate the minor adjustments needed to deal with the case when \( n = p n_1 n_2 \). We then have \( u = n_1^g \), and

\[
n_2^g = \frac{P_p(u)}{u} = 2^{p-1}u^{p-1} + a_1 u^{p-2} + \cdots + a_{p-1}.
\]

Replacing \( u \) by \( n_1^g \) we get,

\[
n_2^g = 2^{p-1} n_1^{g(p-1)} + a_1 n_1^{g(p-2)} + \cdots + a_{p-1}.
\]
We divide both sides of the above equation by \( n_1^{g(p-1)} \) and obtain

\[
\left( \frac{n_2}{n_1^{p-1}} \right)^g - 2^{p-1} < \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{p-1}}{n_1^g}. \tag{19}
\]

Recall that \( a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1} \) are nonnegative coefficients. Since the roots \( z_j = i \sin((2j + 1)\pi/p) \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, p - 1 \), of the polynomial \( F_p(X)/X \) are all at most 1 in absolute value, and the first coefficient of this polynomial is \( 2^{p-1} \), it follows, from the Viète relations, that

\[
a_k < 2^{p-1} \left( \frac{p - 1}{k} \right) < 4^p, \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \ldots, p - 1.
\]

Thus, inequality (19) implies that

\[
\left| \left( \frac{n_2}{n_1^{p-1}} \right)^g - 2^{p-1} \right| < \frac{4^p p}{n_1^g}. \tag{20}
\]

One checks immediately that the inequality

\[
\frac{4^p p}{n_1^g} < \frac{1}{2n_1^{2(p-1)}}
\]

holds, since it is implied by \( n_1^{2-2p} > (2p)4^p \), which is true when \( g > 5p \) and \( n_1 > p > 100 \). Thus, inequality (19) leads to

\[
\left| \frac{n_2}{n_1^{p-1}} - 2^{(p-1)/g} \right| \left( \frac{n_2}{n_1^{p-1}} \right)^{g-1} + \cdots + 2^{(p-1)(g-1)/g} < \frac{1}{2n_1^{2(p-1)}}.
\]

Since \( n_2 \) and \( n_1 \) are positive and \( (p - 1)(g - 1)/g > 1 \), the second factor in the left hand side above is larger than 1. Hence, the last inequality above leads to

\[
\left| \frac{n_2}{n_1^{p-1}} - 2^{(p-1)/g} \right| < \frac{1}{2n_1^{2(p-1)}}.
\]

Note that \( 2^{(p-1)/g} \) is irrational since \( g > 5p \). By a classical result from the theory of continued fractions (see Theorem 8.2.4b on page 108 in [7]), we conclude that \( n_2/n_1^{p-1} \) is a convergent of \( 2^{(p-1)/g} \). Since \( n_2 \leq n \leq x \) and the sequence \( \{p_k/q_k\}_{k \geq 0} \) of convergents to the irrational number \( 2^{(p-1)/g} \) has the property that \( \{p_k\}_{k \geq 0} \) has exponential growth (in fact, \( p_k \geq F_k \) for all \( k \geq 0 \), where \( F_k \) is the \( k \)th Fibonacci number), we get that the number of possibilities for \( n \leq x \) once \( p \) and \( g \) are fixed such that \( g > 5p \) is \( O(\log x) \).

The same argument applies in the case \( n = pn_1 n_2 \), except that now we get that \( n_2/(pn_1)^{p-1} \) is a convergent to \( (2^{p-1}/p^g)^{1/g} \). Thus, in both instances when
n = n_1 n_2 or n = p n_1 n_2, we get that the number of possibilities for n ∈ \mathcal{N}(x) is at most
\begin{align*}
\# \mathcal{N}(x) &\ll \# \text{choices for } p \times \# \text{choices for } g \times \log x \\
&\leq \exp((c_3 + o(1)) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x}), \quad \text{as } x \to \infty. \quad (21)
\end{align*}

**The case when \( g \leq 5p. \)**

As a first remark, we observe that inequalities (10) and (17) together with the fact that \( g \ll p \ll \log x \), show that
\begin{equation}
\# A_p(x) \ll x^{1/p} \log x. \quad (22)
\end{equation}

Next, we digress a bit in order to state a particular version of a result of Evertse and Silverman, which is useful for our purpose.

Let \( \mathbb{L} \) be an algebraic number field of degree \( \ell \) and class number \( h(\mathbb{L}) \).

Assume that \( f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \) is a polynomial of degree \( p \) having only simple roots. With these notations, Evertse and Silverman proved the following result (see [8], or Theorem 5A on page 142 of [12]).

**Lemma 2.** Consider the equation
\begin{equation}
y^g = f(x), \quad \text{with } x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Q}^*. \quad (23)
\end{equation}

(i) Suppose \( g \geq 3, \ p \geq 2, \) and \( \mathbb{L} \) contains at least two roots of \( f(x) \). Then the number of solutions of (23) is bounded by
\[ 17^{7\ell} g^{3\ell} h(\mathbb{L}). \]

(ii) Suppose \( g = 2, \ p \geq 3 \) and \( \mathbb{L} \) contain at least three roots of \( f(x) \). Then the number of solutions of (23) is bounded by
\[ 7^{13\ell} h(\mathbb{L})^2. \]

We apply Lemma 2 above to our equation
\begin{equation}
n^g = P_p(u). \quad (24)
\end{equation}

Fix the prime \( p \) and let \( f(X) = P_p(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \). We may take \( \mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q} [e^{2\pi i/2p}] \) to be the cyclotomic field of degree \( \ell = \phi(2p) = p - 1 \), which contains the splitting field of \( f(X) \). Since the discriminant \( \Delta_L \) of \( \mathbb{L} \) is \( \pm p^{p-2} \), and by a classical result of Landau \( h(\mathbb{L}) \ll \sqrt{\Delta_L (\log |\Delta_L|)^{\ell-1}} \), we get that
\[ h(\mathbb{L}) \leq \exp((3/2 + o(1))p \log p), \]
as \( p \to \infty \). By Lemma 2 and the fact that \( g \leq 5p \), we get at once that the number of solutions of (24) for \( p \) fixed is at most

\[
\#A_p(x) \leq \exp\left((7/2 + o(1))p \log p\right), \tag{25}
\]

when \( p \to \infty \). Inequalities (22), (18), (21) and (25), imply immediately that

\[
\#A(x) \leq \#\mathcal{M}(x) + \#\mathcal{N}(x) + \sum_{p \leq 4 \log x} \#A_p(x)
\ll \exp\left((c_3 + o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right)
+ \sum_{p \leq 4 \log x} \min\left\{x^{1/p} \log x, \exp((7/2 + o(1))p \log p)\right\},
\]

as \( x \to \infty \). A quick computation reveals that

\[
\min\left\{\frac{\log x}{p}, (7/2 + o(1))p \log p\right\} \leq (c_4 + o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x},
\]

as \( x \to \infty \), where \( c_4 = \sqrt{7}/2 \). Since \( c_3 > c_4 \), we get the desired inequality upon ignoring lower order factors and noticing that \( c_3 = c_1 \).

3. Proof of Corollary 1

Let \( X \) be large and \( (x, y, m, n, z) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \). Then \( x^{m/2} = U_t \) and \( y^{n/2} = U_s \) for some positive integers \( s < t \). Clearly, \( x \in \mathcal{A}(X) \). Observe that \( z > 0 \) is uniquely determined by \( (x, y, m, n) \), so it suffices to count the number of such quadruples. Let us assume that \( x \leq X \) is fixed.

We first bound the number of choices for \( t \). By the primitive divisor theorem for Lucas sequences (see [4], for example), for each odd \( k > 3 \), the number \( U_k \) has a primitive prime factor \( p_k \), which is an odd prime not dividing \( dU_1U_2 \cdots U_{k-1} \). It is known that such a prime is congruent to \( (d|p_k) \in \{\pm 1\} \), where for an odd prime \( p \) we use \((\bullet|p)\) for the Legendre symbol with respect to \( p \). In particular, writing

\[
t = r_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots r_s^{\alpha_s},
\]

we observe that for all divisors \( k > 3 \) of \( t \) we have that \( U_k | U_t \) and that \( U_k \) has a primitive prime factor \( p_k \). Clearly, \( p_k | x \) and \( k | p_k \pm 1 \). This shows that

\[
t^{\tau(t)/2} = \prod_{k | t} k \ll \prod_{k | t} (p_k + 1) \ll \prod_{p | x} (p + 1) \ll x \log \log x.
\]

Here, we write \( \tau(t) \), \( \omega(t) \) and \( \Omega(t) \) for number of divisors, prime divisors, and prime power divisors of \( t > 1 \), respectively. Since \( t \geq 2^{\Omega(t)} \), we get that

\[
2^{\Omega(t)} \tau(t)/2 \ll x \log \log x,
\]
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yielding $\Omega(t)\tau(t) < 4\log x$ once $x$ is sufficiently large. Since $\tau(t) \geq 2^\omega(t)$, we get that $2^\omega(t) \leq 4\log x$, therefore $s = \omega(t) \leq 2\log \log x$ once $x$ is sufficiently large. Note that all prime factors of $t$ divide

$$\prod_{3 \leq p, p|x \atop p \not|d} (p - (d|p)),$$

which is a number having at most $\log x$ distinct prime factors for large enough values of $x$. Furthermore, the multiplicity $\alpha_i$ of each prime factor $r_i$ of $t$ is at most $\Omega(r_i) < 4\log x$. Thus, the number of possibilities for $t$ once $x$ is fixed is at most

$$(4\log x)^{2\log \log x} \left( \frac{[\log x]}{[2\log \log x]} \right) < \exp \left( 5(\log \log X)^2 \right), \quad (26)$$

for sufficiently large values of $X$. From now on, we assume that both $x$ and $t$ are fixed. Observe that, by the primitive divisor theorem again, if $t > 3$, then $t \mid (p \pm 1)$ for some prime factor $p$ of $x$, and, in particular, $t \leq x + 1$.

Observe that the count (26) on $t$ is already of order $\exp(o(\sqrt{\log X}))$ as $X \to \infty$. In what follows, we will show that the count on $n$ is of order at most polynomial in $\log X$. This would later imply that the counts on $t$, $s$ and $m$ are also bounded polynomially in $\log X$, which will then complete the proof of this corollary.

So, let us look at $n$ and let us assume that $n > 20\log X$. Write

$$x^{n/2} = U_1 \left( \frac{U_t}{U_1} \right).$$

It is well-known that if a prime $q$ divides both $U_1$ and $U_t/U_1$, then $q$ divides $t$. Furthermore, if $q^\beta \parallel U_1$ and $q^\gamma \parallel t$, then $q^{\beta + \gamma} \parallel U_1$. Armed with these facts, we first conclude that if $q \nmid t$, then $(n/2) \mid \beta$. If on the other hand $q \mid t$, then $q^\gamma \mid t$, and $\beta + \gamma$ is a multiple of $n/2$. To summarize, there exists a positive integer $x_1$ dividing $x$ such that

$$U_1 = x_1^{n/2},$$

where $\ell$ is a divisor of $t$. We may also assume that $x_1 > 1$, since otherwise $U_1 = 1$, therefore $x^{n/2} = U_t$ and $g^{n/2} = U_s$ are both perfect powers of exponents $n/2$ and $m/2$, respectively (both larger than 1), in the recurrence of general term

$$U_k = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})^k + (1 - \sqrt{2})^k}{2}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

and as we have already mentioned it is known that there are only finitely many such possibilities for the quadruple $(x, y, m, n)$.
Putting now \( x^2 = x_1/x_1 \), we get

\[
x_2^{n/2} = \frac{P_t(U_1)}{U_1} = 2^{t-1}U_1^{t-1} + \cdots + a_{t-1},
\]

(27)

where we again use

\[
P_t(X) = \frac{(X + \sqrt{X^2 + 1})^t + (X - \sqrt{X^2 + 1})^t}{2X} = 2^{t-1}X^{t-1} + \cdots + a_{t-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[X].
\]

(28)

We rewrite relation (27) as

\[
\left| \frac{x_2^{n/2}(t/\ell)^t - 1}{2^{t-1}U_1^{t-1}} \right| = \frac{a_1U_1^{t-2} + \cdots + a_{t-1}}{2^{t-1}U_1^{t-1}}.
\]

Replacing \( U_1 \) by \( x_1^{n/2}/\ell \) in the left hand side of the above expression, and using the formula (28) for \( P_t(U_1) \) to rewrite the right hand side of the above expression, we get

\[
\left| \frac{x_2^{n/2}(t/\ell)^{t-1}}{x_1^{(t-1)n/2}} - 1 \right| < \left( \frac{U_1 + \sqrt{U_1^2 + 1}}{2U_1} \right)^t + \left( \frac{U_1 - \sqrt{U_1^2 + 1}}{2U_1} \right)^t - 1. \tag{29}
\]

We now study the right hand side of the above expression. Observe that

\[
\left( \frac{U_1 + \sqrt{U_1^2 + 1}}{2U_1} \right)^t = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{U_1^2}} - 1 \right) \right)^t = \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{U_1^2} \right) \right)^t = \exp \left( O \left( \frac{t}{U_1^2} \right) \right),
\]

(30)

Observe further that

\[
\frac{t}{U_1^2} \leq \frac{t\ell}{U_1} = \frac{t\ell}{x_1^{n/2}} \ll \frac{x^2}{x_1^{n/2}} \ll \frac{1}{x_1^{n/4}},
\]

(31)

where the last inequality follows because it is implied by \( x_1^{n/4} \geq x^2 \), which is implied by \( 2^n \geq x^3 \), which in turn holds because \( n \geq 20 \log X \).

Next observe that

\[
\left( \frac{U_1 - \sqrt{U_1^2 + 1}}{2U_1} \right)^t < \frac{1}{U_1} \ll \frac{1}{x_1^{n/4}}.
\]

(32)
Thus, from estimates (30), (31) and (32), we get that
\[
\left(\frac{U_1 + \sqrt{U_1^2 + 1}}{2U_1}\right)^t + \left(\frac{U_1 - \sqrt{U_1^2 + 1}}{2U_1}\right)^t - 1 \\
\ll \left(\exp\left(O\left(\frac{1}{x_1^{n/4}}\right)\right) - 1\right) + \frac{1}{x_1^{n/4}}
\]
which together with estimate (29) leads to
\[
\left|\frac{x_2^{n/2}(\ell/2)^{t-1}x_1^{-(t-1)n/2} - 1}{x_1^{n/4}}\right| \ll \frac{1}{x_1^{n/4}}. \tag{33}
\]
The left hand side above is nonzero, since if it were, then we would get that
\[
P_t(U_1) = 2^{t-1}U_1^{t-1},
\]
which is not possible for \( t > 1 \) since then the left hand side above is larger than the right hand side above. Applying now a lower bound for a linear form in logarithms à la Baker [1] to the nonzero expression
\[
\left|\alpha_1^{b_1}\alpha_2^{b_2}\alpha_3^{b_3} - 1\right|
\]
with \( \alpha_1 = x_2, \alpha_2 = \ell/2, \alpha_3 = x_1, b_1 = n/2, b_2 = t - 1 \) and \( b_3 = -(t-1)n/2 \), we get that the left hand side above is bounded from below by
\[
\exp\left(-c_5(\log X)^3\log(Xn)\right),
\]
where \( c_5 \) is some positive constant. Thus, we get that
\[
\exp\left(-c_5(\log X)^3\log(Xn)\right) \ll \frac{1}{x_1^{n/4}},
\]
leading to
\[
n \log 2 \leq 4c_5 (\log X)^3 \log(Xn),
\]
which yields \( n \leq c_6(\log X)^4 \) for some absolute constant \( c_6 \). Hence, \( x^{n/2} = \exp(O(\log X)^5) \). Since \( x^{n/2} = U_t \gg (\sqrt{2} + 1)^t \), we get that \( t \ll (\log X)^5 \), and since \( s < t \), we get that \( s \ll (\log X)^5 \) also. Finally, having fixed \( n \ll (\log X)^4 \) and both \( t \) and \( s \) of sizes \( O((\log X)^5) \), we have that \( y^{m/2} = U_s \) is a fixed number on the scale \( \exp(O((\log X)^5)) \). Since \( y > 1 \), we get that \( m \) can be fixed in
$O((\log X)^5)$ ways, after which $y$ is uniquely determined. This argument shows that we have

$$\# \mathcal{B}(X) \leq O(1) + \#\{\text{choices for } x\} \times \#\{\text{choices for } n\}$$

$$\times \#\{\text{choices for } t\} \times \#\{\text{choices for } s\} \times \#\{\text{choices for } m\}$$

$$\ll \# \mathcal{A}(X) \times (\log X)^4 \times (\log X)^5 \times (\log X)^5 \times (\log X)^5$$

$$\leq \exp \left( (c_1 + o(1)) \sqrt{\log X \log \log X} \right),$$

as $X \to \infty$, as desired. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.
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